

JUN 11 2009

Application Serial No. 10/701,326
Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

PATENT
Docket: CU-3430

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application: Cheol Ho JOH et al.

Serial No: 10/701,326

Filed: November 4, 2003

For: CHIP-STACKED PACKAGE

Certification under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The USPTO Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300

Date of Fax Transmittal: June 11, 2009

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to the fax number and on the date indicated above,



Kathryn Rodriguez

Mail Stop Petitions
The Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

On November 19, 2008, the Applicant filed a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) for revival of the present application abandoned unintentionally.

On December 11, 2008, a decision dismissing the prior petition was mailed and later received by Applicant's attorney. The petition dismissal states that the petition of November 19, 2008 fails to adequately show that (1) the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment and (2) the delay in filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application was unintentional.

Please charge any proper fee(s) associated with this paper and required by the USPTO to Deposit Account No. 12-0400.

Included herewith are copies of letters sent by the Patent Prosecution Manager of Yuil International Patent and Law Firm (hereinafter Yuil) who currently represent the Applicant in the Republic of Korea.

In the letter of October 16, 2008 (enclosed), Yuil has instructed to proceed with revival of the unintentionally abandoned application. Following this instruction, the

06/11/2009 11:21 FAX 312 427 6663

LADAS & PARRY LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

0002/0003

JUN 11 2009

Application Serial No. 10/701,326
Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

PATENT
Docket: CU-3430

Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b) has been filed with the USPTO on November 19, 2008. Then, in reply to the Decision on Petition mailed December 11, 2008, we received the letter of June 10, 2009 (enclosed) from Yuil providing the detailed explanation as to the circumstances that led Yuil to go unnoticed contrary to all intentions about the unintended and unintentional abandonment of the present application until about October of 2008. A copy of our safe receipt fax confirmation sent back to Yuil is also enclosed.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that the present application have been allowed to be abandoned due to totally unintentional circumstances. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: June 11, 2009

Attorney for Applicant
W. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523
Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300

Page 2 of 2